EXHIBIT BB

Dagambar 07, 2022

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023

	Page 1		Page 3
1		1	e-mailed Mazene (sic), just because I know he was
2		2	originally the union representative for Steve,
3		3	but he also is the president. So I wanted to
4		4	make sure that it was clear that we weren't
5		5	looking for another union for Weingarten to come
6		6	in and join us.
7		7	RICHARD SINAPI: I'm the Weingarten
8		8	rep.
	TRANSCRIPTION FROM AUDIOTAPE	9	MICHELLE DARGON: Okay.
9	TV DD. CHUMN WIDDAY TWEEDING	10	SYBIL BAILEY: That is what I thought.
10	IN RE: STEVEN MURRAY INTERVIEW	11	MICHELLE DARGON: I just that is
11	WITH CCRI HUMAN RESOURCES	12	what we thought, but I didn't want to make that
12	WITH CCRI HUMAN RESOURCES	13	- 1
13	12-7-2023		assumption.
14	12 / 2023	14	RICHARD SINAPI: Yes, yes.
15		15	MICHELLE DARGON: Perfect.
16		16	RICHARD SINAPI: No use having too many
17		17	people.
18		18	MICHELLE DARGON: Excellent. All
19		19	right. And I am going to type as we go, if that
20		20	doesn't bother anybody.
21		21	RICHARD SINAPI: No, but I have a
22		22	couple of preliminary questions, if you don't
23		23	mind.
24		24	MICHELLE DARGON: For sure.
	Page 2		Page 4
1	MICHELLE DARGON: I did bring a copy of	1	RICHARD SINAPI: Sure. So I just want
2	the policies. I know I had e-mailed them, but	2	to clarify the parse of this meeting. Is this a
3	I'm a paper person, so I didn't know if you would	3	pre-deprivation hearing or just an investigatory
4	like them in print there.	4	meeting?
l _			meeuno?
5	SPEAKER: Yes, for sure.		
5	SPEAKER: Yes, for sure. MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the	5	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an
6	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the	5 6	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that
6 7	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone.	5 6 7	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is
6 7 8	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that.	5 6 7 8	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm
6 7 8 9	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with	5 6 7 8 9	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately
6 7 8 9 10	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we	5 6 7 8 9 10	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any
6 7 8 9 10	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is	5 6 7 8 9 10	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's assistant.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would go through the correct due process there.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's assistant. SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) Steven	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would go through the correct due process there. RICHARD SINAPI: Perfect. Okay. Next
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's assistant. SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) Steven MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, we saw each	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would go through the correct due process there. RICHARD SINAPI: Perfect. Okay. Next question
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's assistant. SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) Steven MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, we saw each other in the hallway walking in, yeah.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would go through the correct due process there. RICHARD SINAPI: Perfect. Okay. Next question MICHELLE DARGON: Sure.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's assistant. SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) Steven MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, we saw each other in the hallway walking in, yeah. MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. I always fail	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would go through the correct due process there. RICHARD SINAPI: Perfect. Okay. Next question MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. RICHARD SINAPI: in that regard,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's assistant. SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) Steven MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, we saw each other in the hallway walking in, yeah. MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. I always fail at that part.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would go through the correct due process there. RICHARD SINAPI: Perfect. Okay. Next question MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. RICHARD SINAPI: in that regard, so and you sent me the e-mail
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's assistant. SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) Steven MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, we saw each other in the hallway walking in, yeah. MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. I always fail at that part. SYBIL BAILEY: No, it's good.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would go through the correct due process there. RICHARD SINAPI: Perfect. Okay. Next question MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. RICHARD SINAPI: in that regard, so and you sent me the e-mail MICHELLE DARGON: Um-hum.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's assistant. SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) Steven MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, we saw each other in the hallway walking in, yeah. MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. I always fail at that part. SYBIL BAILEY: No, it's good. MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. The first	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would go through the correct due process there. RICHARD SINAPI: Perfect. Okay. Next question MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. RICHARD SINAPI: in that regard, so and you sent me the e-mail MICHELLE DARGON: Um-hum. RICHARD SINAPI: of November 29th,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's assistant. SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) Steven MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, we saw each other in the hallway walking in, yeah. MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. I always fail at that part. SYBIL BAILEY: No, it's good. MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. The first thing I am just looking to clarify before we kind	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would go through the correct due process there. RICHARD SINAPI: Perfect. Okay. Next question MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. RICHARD SINAPI: in that regard, so and you sent me the e-mail MICHELLE DARGON: Um-hum.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me turn on the microphone. SPEAKER: I just did that. MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. You know with my luck, the kids (inaudible) me in. Okay, so we can start. Okay. And I apologize. This is Michael SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. MICHELLE DARGON: Attorney Sinapi's assistant. SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) Steven MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, we saw each other in the hallway walking in, yeah. MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. I always fail at that part. SYBIL BAILEY: No, it's good. MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. The first thing I am just looking to clarify before we kind	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MICHELLE DARGON: It's just an investigatory meeting, so actually part of that policy another reason I kind of printed it is just to focus and narrow in on Section 62. I'm required under this policy to separately interview all persons involved, including any witnesses in order to obtain accurate, written accounts of the incidents. So again, this is just the investigatory stages, and should it rise to further action after this level, then we would go through the correct due process there. RICHARD SINAPI: Perfect. Okay. Next question MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. RICHARD SINAPI: in that regard, so and you sent me the e-mail MICHELLE DARGON: Um-hum. RICHARD SINAPI: of November 29th,



STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 5–8

Ste	even Murray Interview Meeting		5–8
	Page 5		Page 7
1	the investigation. As I understand it,	1	of looking and seeking clarification on
2	basically, the subject matter is the same facts		information that was provided. And at this time
3	as the Title 9, but different policies you're		I am not sure if it's all of the witnesses, part
4	looking at as applied to those facts.	4	of that Title 9 investigation, because that was
5	MICHELLE DARGON: Correct.	5	done under the pains and penalties of perjury for
6	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	6	everybody.
7	MICHELLE DARGON: Yes.	7	RICHARD SINAPI: Um-hum.
8	RICHARD SINAPI: All right, so I got	8	MICHELLE DARGON: But just people whose
9	that correct, so in terms of investigatory	9	information may not have been as clear or
10	material, I take it you have access to the sworn	10	thorough. The witnesses tied to the violence in
11	interview transcript statements of the three	11	the workplace prevention policy are likely to be
12	complainants, of my client, their e-mails, their	12	the same, so we are still in kind of the
13	complaints, and all that, so you have all that, I	13	31 31 31 31
14	take it?	14	investigatory process under the policy.
15	MICHELLE DARGON: Yes, so I have the	15	RICHARD SINAPI: So you haven't
16	full report that was provided to CCRI from	16	interviewed anybody yet?
17	(Inaudible), sorry, attorney, but also, the	17	MICHELLE DARGON: We have. We just
18	supplemental that was provided as well.	18	haven't finished. You were kind of in the middle
19	RICHARD SINAPI: Great and then again,	19	of this.
20	with all his he attached all his investigatory	20	RICHARD SINAPI: In the middle of the
21	material.	21	process?
22	MICHELLE DARGON: He did, yes, so	22	MICHELLE DARGON: Yes.
23	RICHARD SINAPI: Okay.	23	RICHARD SINAPI: You are going to
24	MICHELLE DARGON: so every single	24	provide us with that information at some point, I
	Page 6		Page 8
1	transcript that he had done, all of the	1	take it.
2	additional exhibits that were provided. We also	2	MICHELLE DARGON: Aligned with the
3	have your motion to dismiss and the additional	3	policy and within consult with our general
4	supplemental that was provided with that, too.	4	counsel in-house counsel, then, yes.
5	RICHARD SINAPI: Great.	5	RICHARD SINAPI: Okay. Thank you.
6	MICHELLE DARGON: This is just, you	6	MICHELLE DARGON: You're welcome.
7	know, why I said that I thought an hour, if we	7	Anything further?
1 -	do need more time, we will just reschedule,	8	RICHARD SINAPI: Now, it's the
9	because I do want to be mindful of everybody's	9	investigation, pre-deprivation (inaudible). If
10	time. We have other obligations. It's really	10	it was a predep, you would have to provide it.
11	just to reiterate certain points that are in	11	STEVEN MURRAY: Okay.
12	there and to get clarifying information, too, and	12	RICHARD SINAPI: Okay.
13	so part of the investigatory process, I just kind	13	MICHELLE DARGON: All right, so like I
14	of wanted to narrow in scope and ask a couple of	14	said, my questions are a little bit more narrower
15	questions tied to that report that might be	15	in scope, and they are a little subjective, so I
16	relevant, and did you have any other questions	16	do recognize that. So the first one is, Steve,
17	before I kind of kick us off?	17	could you please describe your working
18	RICHARD SINAPI: Makes sense so you	18	relationship with faculty.
19	mentioned about re-interviewing all the	19	STEVEN MURRAY: Which faculty?
20	witnesses. Are you going to re-interview them in	20	MICHELLE DARGON: In general. It's a
21	addition to all of the information you already	21	generalized question.

22

MICHELLE DARGON: We are, yup. So it 23 relationship?

24 would be obviously more narrower in scope, kind 24

22 have?

STEVEN MURRAY: My working

MICHELLE DARGON: Yes.

Document 15-5 Filed

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 9–12

Ste	even Murray Interview Meeting		9–12
1	Page 9 STEVEN MURRAY: I am not sure if I	1	Page 11 RICHARD SINAPI: I am not trying to be
2	understand the question. I mean, I have a	2	facetious, by the way.
3	working relationship as the department chair with	3	MICHELLE DARGON: No, I understand.
1 .		4	RICHARD SINAPI: I am just trying to
4	the faculty of my department. I get along	5	
5	excellently with all those people. There's	6	see what you want to you know, what you want.
6	roughly 300 full-time faculty and another 6, 700		MICHELLE DARGON: No, and again, it's
7	adjuncts. I'm not sure what you mean by I	7	just kind of just looking to see how Steve is
8	have a relationship with all of those roughly 900	8	just like, feels about the overall environment
9	people.	9	and his working relationships with everybody, so
10	MICHELLE DARGON: And with all the	10	it is a rather subjective question, but it's
11	roughly 900 people, how would you describe that,	11	just, you know, to see how you find the
12	too?	12	environments in the workplace.
13	STEVEN MURRAY: It differs from person	13	STEVEN MURRAY: Hang on one second.
14	to person, of course.	14	
15	MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. Overall,	15	RICHARD SINAPI: That is up to you.
16	though, how would you describe it? I know you	16	STEVEN MURRAY: Yes, I mean, I would
17	said "excellent" within your own department as	17	say I have a very good professional relationship.
18	chair, but as	18	If you look at you have access to my
19	STEVEN MURRAY: I try to get along with	19	professional file; it's made part of this. I
20	everybody. I'm a strong advocate for the	20	have outstanding evaluations from my supervisors,
21	faculty, students, the college. I have	21	including Bill Stargard, Allison Hanley, John
22	devoted I think, I've been there I am not	22	,
23	sure if this is my 30th or 31st year. I came	23	, , , , ,
24	there in 1993. I worked there part-time before	24	They're all outstanding, and my faculty
1	Page 10	1	Page 12
1 2	that, and I've devoted my professional career to	1 2	evaluations in the file are all outstanding as well.
3	the college and the students and the faculty.	3	
	(Inaudible) I was the union president for a	4	MICHELLE DARGON: Okay, perfect. STEVEN MURRAY: Vice-president Costigan
4	little more than four years and probably the vice-president, and I do that out of a sense of	5	came to my house in 2020 and gave me a gift, kind
5	duty to the faculty and the college, the people	6	of a, you know, again, you know, encouraging me
6	of Rhode Island. I try to do my best for	7	and whatnot, you know, saying what I was doing
7 8	•		was the right thing. I have never had a
9	everybody. MICHELLE DARGON: Excellent, okay. How	8	supervisor come to my house and leave a gift on
10	about, could you describe your working	10	my front doorstep, and that's part of the record,
11	relationship with your direct supervisor, your	11	and it's I don't think she would do that
12	current one, first.	12	unless she had a lot of respect for me.
13	STEVEN MURRAY: I am not sure who that	13	MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. How about
14	is. I mean, since they have kind of closed my	14	outside of your supervisor; how would you
15	department, I guess it would be Dean Nauman. It	15	describe your working relationship with members
16	was Bill Stargard. There were nine deans before	16	of the administrative team?
17	that, in nine years, roughly, within my division.	17	STEVEN MURRAY: Individual people? I
18	MICHELLE DARGON: Nine deans?	18	mean, the administrative team is, again, I don't
19	STEVEN MURRAY: In nine years.	19	know how many people
20	•	20	MICHELLE DARGON: Yes, so I think
20	1 1 1 1 2 On white Do you want min to go	20	WING TELLE DATE OF THE 1

MICHELLE DARGON: No, I think really

23 what I -- they're kind of open-ended questions,

21 through all nine?

22

21 and I know you had mentioned that you had worked

22 direct -- you know, you have two immediate

current deans, Stargard and the transitions(inaudible), and then nine deans in nine years

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 13–16

Page 13 1 and you talked about Vice-president Costigan, but how about the rest -- the other vice-presidents, other deans, that aren't maybe perhaps your 4 direct supervisors that you've worked with, and then both the -- and I know you mentioned, again, Vice-president Costigan, but also the former 7 president as well. 8 STEVEN MURRAY: President Hughes? 9 10 yes. 11 STEVEN MURRAY: When she would see me, 12 she would hug me. So again, we had a -- again, I 13 don't know. I'm not sure of the question again,

MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. Meghan Hughes,

but I think on a personal level, we always got along very well. On a professional level, you 15 16 know, again management, workers, there were 17 issues, obviously, and things like no confidence 18 votes and whatnot done by the faculty and not 19 done by me, by the faculty, and so -- but I mean, 20 again, she was always friendly to me.

22 support staff. So I understand that you had, as the chair, some support staff under you, but you 23 also worked with support staff through other

21

2

7

Page 14 1 kinds of conversations, so if you were looking to work with a dean or another chair, you would go

MICHELLE DARGON: And then how about

through their support staff. Student services, academic affairs, how about the -- again, an

5 overall working relationship with support staff? 6 STEVEN MURRAY: Again, my direct

support staff, Maureen (Inaudible), in this matter, wrote a letter. She worked with me for 20 years. It just shows an outstanding

10 relationship, professional and personal. My

11 current support person (Inaudible), you got a

12 letter from (Inaudible). The person who sat

13 right outside my door (inaudible), again, has put

14 a letter in. Again, it's just complimentary to 15 me, without a doubt. If you spoke to the other

16 people, again, in my area, at the night campus,

17 Joanne Albro, Lauren -- I'm not sure of --

18 (Inaudible). My 19 hour person, Leslie

19 (Inaudible) sister Lauren, you know, (inaudible)

20 professional relationship, and many others at the

21 college. I mean, I have been there 30 years, you

know, people in other people departments, Gail

(Inaudible) in the English Department, and again,

24 I don't know, you know, again, I'm not --

Page 15 MICHELLE DARGON: All right. How do 1 you respond and handle opinions and processes that you disagree with in the workplace? 4 STEVEN MURRAY: Could you repeat that. 5 MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. How do you respond and handle opinions and processes you 7 disagree with in the workplace? 8 STEVEN MURRAY: Professionally, I mean, I always listen to other people. I really do. I realize that other people could have other opinions. It's never personal. People are

passionate about issues that are important to our 13 students, the college and the state, as I am, and

I always respect other people's opinions, even when I disagree with them. I have never swore at

anybody, you know, used foul language. I have 17

never threatened anyone, physically or otherwise. We've had professional disagreements. That's

normal in the workplace. And again, I always try

20 to keep it professional and not personal.

21 MICHELLE DARGON: All right, so 22 another -- this is another kind of multi-part

question. Have you ever been told your behavior

Page 16

is unacceptable by somebody in the workplace?

STEVEN MURRAY: Told by anyone?

2 MICHELLE DARGON: Yes. 3 STEVEN MURRAY: And what do you mean by

4 unacceptable?

1

19

5 MICHELLE DARGON: Just has anybody stopped you and said, you know, Steve, I find A,

7 B, C is very unprofessional or unacceptable.

8 STEVEN MURRAY: Last fall Dean Stargard

9 said he didn't like the tone of my e-mails, and I 10 expressed back to him; what do you mean by the

11 tone? I think his Assistant Liz Del Sesto made a

comment that I sent them at night, so I just

said, is there a time period? They said, they

were too long, and I politely said, well, what is

15 the length that I can or cannot do; what is

16 excessive? What is unexcessive? They never 17

answered those questions. I don't know how you 18 kind of police tone in an e-mail.

MICHELLE DARGON: Sure.

20 STEVEN MURRAY: And I don't put things

21 in all caps. I don't bold print things. I just, you know, laid out whatever the issue is as

factually and concisely as I can, and I ask for a

24 response. Again, if they had a different



STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 17 - 20

Page 17 1 opinion, again, things, like, go for overload 2 contract provisions and whatnot, sometimes the 3 administer would have one position, faculty 4 member or a department chair might have another. 5 I always kept that professional, and I never understood why that was a problem, just to raise 7 those issues. 8 MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. And in, I guess, this example, did he ever elaborate on why 9 it might be an issue? I know you said he was not 11 responsive to the hours and the length, when it was sent, but in terms of the subject matter? 13 STEVEN MURRAY: No, I really don't 14 think he was. And again, I think if you look at his evaluation of me, there's really nothing in his evaluation about that. There are sections on 17 communication and whatnot in the evaluation. I 18 don't think I'm faulted for any of that. Any of 19 my evaluations going back, through all of these 20 deans, I have never been criticized with any of 21 that, and I think that's, again, where that type 22 of evaluation would take place. 23 MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. All right. 24 How about, have you ever been told your behavior

Page 19 people use foul language toward me, including administrators. And I have made the college aware of that. 4 MICHELLE DARGON: How about, have you been -- excuse me, have you ever been informed that your behavior is insulting? 7 STEVEN MURRAY: Again, I don't -- I have had disagreements, for instance, with -- she was Vice-president Costigan at the time. I mean, it's -- and again, that is all documented. I don't know if she used the word insulting. 12 MICHELLE DARGON: And then how about 13 inappropriate? 14 STEVEN MURRAY: Again, if you cited some specific examples, I would be happy to comment, but you are asking in 32 years. 17 RICHARD SINAPI: Can I just get some 18 clarification. Is there some suggestion that any of the many administrators or 300 faculty, who 20 subjectively took something personal or read into language -- something that is a violation of 21 policy 5.01; is that the position of CCRI? 22 23 MICHELLE DARGON: So 5.01 is standards

Page 18

1 is rude? 2 STEVEN MURRAY: Rude? 3 MICHELLE DARGON: Rude. 4 STEVEN MURRAY: By whom? By, like --5 MICHELLE DARGON: Just anybody in the 6 workplace. 7 STEVEN DARGON: I don't have a memory 8 at this moment --9 MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. 10 STEVEN DARGON: -- of any individual or 11

specific person saying, you are rude. Again, I have been there 32 years. I have been a leader 12 13 there, you know, and I have been on different 14 committees, again, and whatnot. I was the president of the union, and again, you have 16 professional disagreements. They are never 17 personal. I always make that very clear. It's 18 never an attack on anyone, individually. It's

19 just you may have one opinion and I have another, 20 and I think in any type of work setting you

21 should be able to have a professional discussion 22 about that. Sometimes when people don't like

23 your response, they react as they're going to

24 react. I have had people scream at me. I've had

representation, and how we act as state

24 of conduct, just in the overall scope of

employees, and how we act as faculty amongst the

Page 20

college, and within that, it's just kind of

focusing on the way we kind of handle inner

conflict, inner discussions or discourse. And

making sure that we're holding the college to the

best esteem possible. 7

RICHARD SINAPI: I understand that, but 8 unfortunately or fortunately, it's a public

10 college.

15

11 MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. 12

RICHARD SINAPI: And the First

Amendment tolerates robust, critical, sometimes

14 harsh communications --

MICHELLE DARGON: Sure.

16 RICHARD SINAPI: -- regarding matters.

And additionally, you know, attacking the issue,

and somebody taking it personal, doesn't -- is

19 not sanctionable either -- in any professional

setting or certainly under the First Amendment,

21 and just -- again, I'm just following your line

of questioning, and I don't -- I want to make

23 sure we are not going there.

24 SYBIL BAILEY: I had struggled with



STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 21–24

Page 23

Page 21

1 that as well.

2 RICHARD SINAPI: H'm.

3 SYBIL BAILEY: Especially when it's

4 union business and negotiating. I mean, I've sat

5 behind the table with many aggressive, assertive

6 people. At some point, though, we are not always

7 wearing our union hat, if you will, and if I tell

8 you or let you know or several people say, ooh,

9 ow, it's never going to be productive, and that

10 is the message we're kind of getting; people do

11 feel all the things that we're kind of trying to

12 ask your perspective; do you realize that? "It

13 isn't helping, so I don't think you're a very

14 good lawyer. I don't -- you don't know your

15 job." Like, it's things like that, that people

16 take insulting. Whether or not it's your

17 personal opinion, not everyone wants it, and that

18 is what we're struggling with. To be honest,

19 it's, like, okay, we have got to find a better

20 way to be more effective professional colleagues,

21 and I get your point. Listen, I'm passionate. I

22 want to do this; I want to do that, but some of

23 the comments, things like that, are just --

24 people feel -- I'm used to it, so -- and I don't

Page 22

take it personally, but I have worked in this setting. I mean, we can argue, fight, whatever, 2 3

and go out for coffee later.

4 STEVEN MURRAY: Sure.

5 SYBIL BAILEY: So -- but not everyone 6 feels that, and I guess it's a different position 7 as well for me.

RICHARD SINAPI: Sybil, I understand what you're saying, but I have to follow-up on 10 it. When the discourse does not involve 11 vulgarity, threats of violence, threats of bodily 12 harm, when the discourse is about ideas, not

13 about people --

8

14

SYBIL BAILEY: Right.

15 RICHARD SINAPI: -- not about their 16 character.

17 SYBIL BAILEY: Right.

18 RICHARD SINAPI: But it's about ideas 19 and positions and what is going on; there is no 20 sanction for that. There is no way to police 21 that.

22 SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.

23 RICHARD SINAPI: There has to be, particularly, in the public setting or where it 1 involves -- in the private setting, where it

2 involves union business, there is no policing

that, and that is just -- that is just a fact. I

mean, I reviewed all of the transcripts,

everything. I have reviewed people's, you know,

honest interviews with the investigator, and you

know, nobody attacked them. They attacked their

positions.

9 SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible). I'm sorry.

10 RICHARD SINAPI: So they were -- there

was a -- there was disagreement over their

positions, over their -- the position that they

were trying to advocate for. There was nothing

personal. It just -- that is all it is. And the

First Amendment and the Labor Relations Act

16 doesn't tolerate trying to police, you know, the

tone that you use, when you are doing that,

again, short of vulgarity, or you know, what have

19 you.

20

SYBIL BAILEY: Even threats, if you

would say -- and I'm not saying you are

22 threatening to hurt someone like that.

23 RICHARD SINAPI: I understand.

24 SYBIL BAILEY: But a threat made, "you

Page 24

1 are going to be sorry that -- you know what,

2 fine; you're not going to give me that; you're 3 going to be sorry about that or this isn't the

4 end of that." That's just giving you an example

5 of not necessarily somebody (inaudible) it, but

my paraphrasing in conversations, again, that --

and it's your right. You have a right, but also,

don't we want to work more collaboratively

(inaudible). We don't always have to be -- and

10 that is our -- that's our issue. We are trying

11 to get it to a point where, can we at least work

12 more professionally together, if you don't mean

13 to do that, but I'm telling you, you're doing it

14 to me. This is just me. Maybe I might say, all

right, I won't be so whatever; I don't know.

Just examples.

17 STEVEN MURRAY: People scream at me,

that used vulgarity against me. The college

19 doesn't (inaudible) anything about that.

20 SYBIL BAILEY: And what if we were to

21 say that it's in response to you, not making it

22 right. I am not. And I am saying that could be

just as well, but I get it. I just wish that

24 there would be some acknowledgment on both sides,



Page 25

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 25–28

that going forward, could we maybe figure this out, but this is just me. I am not even one of 3 the --4 STEVEN MURRAY: I never want to insult anybody. I have never had a personal attack on anybody. As Dick said, it's about positions. 7 SYBIL BAILEY: But even if you -- like, I am not qualified. You are telling me, "you're not even qualified for your job. I don't think you're doing a good job." Like, do I really care about your opinion, and I am being facetious. 11 12 STEVEN MURRAY: Everybody is entitled to an opinion. 14 SYBIL BAILEY: What is that? Not 15 everybody --16 STEVEN MURRAY: Everybody is 17 entitled --18 SYBIL BAILEY: -- wants to hear your 19 opinion. "It's embarrassing me, and as my -- as a professional, I don't really appreciate you 20 21 embarrassing me in front of this group, so take it or leave it, okay." I'm just saying to you 22 23 that -- and I get it, but --24 RICHARD SINAPI: The workplace is wide

Page 27 you, and you can ask him. Put it on the record, okay. If somebody tells him, "gee, you know, I'm a little, you know, I'm a little upset about this." My client is not going to push the envelope of his First Amendment privilege -rights, if he knows somebody is really in 7 distress, you know, and anxious. And I got to tell you, the debate about, for instance -again, context matters, Tara Abbascia being a lame duck. This is a significant issue with strong differences of opinion on that, and it's very important, and my client and others felt 13 very strongly about that. And if Ms. Abbascia 14 felt, "ooh, ooh, I am being attacked." We're attacking the decision. It's bad for the union. 16 I'm sorry you don't feel good about it, okay, and if you look at the discourse, the discourse was 18 about the fact -- not that she was a bad person, 19 not that she was not doing a good job, but that 20 it was perceived that, as a lame duck, she would 21 not be effective. Even the union mediator said 22 that. So it was a perception, and it was a 23 strong concern that many people had, but it

Page 26

open, robust communication. It's a rough and 2 tumbled place. It's not kindergarten, okay. 3 SYBIL BAILEY: H'm. RICHARD SINAPI: And that is just the

4 5 way it is. If you look -- and context matters, okay. I read every single piece of material that 7 the investigators dug up, the -- whatever witnesses, about Mr. Murray's conduct over 32 years, okay. There was nothing personal about 10 it. It was always arguing about issues, ideas.

SYBIL BAILEY: But personal --11 12 RICHARD SINAPI: Mr. Murray is very vigorous. He is very passionate. He is very persistent about his positions and what he 15 believes in, and he picks apart arguments that 16 are weak. People don't like it. They get upset. 17 They take it personal. He is picking apart the 18 weakness in their arguments, and what they're

19 doing wrong, and why he disagrees, and they feel 20 threatened. They feel, "ooh, ooh, he is

21 attacking my belief structure. He is undermining

22 me. Oh, oh, I feel -- I feel I am not in my safe place." That is the way the workplace is. You

24 cannot police that, okay, and my client will tell

SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) 1

> 2 RICHARD SINAPI: And let me finish. I

Page 28

want to continue on that.

4 SYBIL BAILEY: Yes, sorry, sorry.

wasn't personal, and I understand.

RICHARD SINAPI: I can understand how

6 Ms. Abbascia thought, oh, gee --

7 SYBIL BAILEY: Yup.

RICHARD SINAPI: -- But that is -- that 8

is a debate over an issue. You made a decision.

People disagree with it, so you're arguing about

the position. Nobody is attacking her. Nobody

is saying she is a bad person. Nobody is saying

she didn't try to be a good president and do the

best she can. It is about a decision. There is

no policing that. Again, sort of vulgarity, what

16 have you. I want to comment on one other thing

17 about threats, okay. People can threaten things

politically, argumentatively, as long as, again,

it does not imply some threat of physical harm or

physical negativity, and let me say something

21 else. I am going to give an example, because

22 it's in the record. My client had a disagreement

23 with -- a concern with Ms. Abbascia, in

particular, that she went on the faculty e-mail



STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 29–32

	Page 29		Page 31
1	and said, "people are	1	STEVEN MURRAY: Yes.
2	SPEAKER: Spreading lies.	2	RICHARD SINAPI: she took it
3	RICHARD SINAPI: spreading lies."	3	personal. Like, oh, oh, you are attacking me,
4	Okay. Now, you know at that time the debatable	4	like, I did something wrong. He wasn't. He was
5	TA, Steve, was one of the most outspoken	5	trying to point out that there was a weakness in
6	advocates, not the only one engaged in this group	6	it, and we need to fix it, okay. When he pointed
7	debate, you know, on the Listserv, but he was,	7	out he thought that the faculty that the
8	you know, the most outspoken, and leading the	8	Senate was being manipulated by the faculty to
9	position against it or one of the positions	9	put forth some of their proposals and
10	against it. And he was concerned that she meant	10	STEVEN MURRAY: The administration.
11	him, and they had, as you know, you saw the	11	RICHARD SINAPI: The administration, I
12	e-mails going back and forth on it, and at one	12	am sorry, the administration and not the faculty,
13	point he said, "well, if it is not me, then just	13	pointing that out, how they were, you know, using
14	say it's not me. I am not pointing a finger."	14	it to do that, again, she took it personal. He
15	She goes, "oh, I am not going to say that." He	15	was not attacking her. He was just making his
16	goes, "well, I'll release the e-mails, which show	16	opinion on what he saw going on. He also had
17	that you it's not me. That you agree it's not	17	facts to support that. That is just his opinion.
18	me." Now, is that a threat? I guess I don't	18	That is his position. He was not attacking her.
19	know if it's a threat. It's a condition, but	19	He was not attacking her or her abilities or her
20	that is there's nothing wrong with that. It's	20	good intentions. It was what he saw happening in
21	a discourse. It's a discourse back and forth,	21	terms of how it was functioning, at least in
22	and it's an issue about his reputation, and he	22	part. That is it. I mean, that is a totally
23	has got a right to say or he could have just	23	protected First Amendment activity. If it's not
24	published it. Hey, here is all the e-mail	24	in a public college setting, where ideas clash,
-	Page 30		Page 32
1	chains. Is that better? I don't know. We are	1	where opinions clash, where world views clash,
2	not it's not comething you can notice	2	there's going to be all kinds of stuff. I mean

not -- it's not something you can police. 2 3 SYBIL BAILEY: Right. 4 RICHARD SINAPI: It's not something you

can police. And again, I'm using that as an 6 example. 7 SYBIL BAILEY: No, I get that, no.

8 RICHARD SINAPI: You know what I am 9 saying?

10 SYBIL BAILEY: Yup, I do.

11 RICHARD SINAPI: So you know, again --

12 SYBIL BAILEY: I --13 RICHARD SINAPI: You know, all the discourse that my client had with Ms. Sneesby, 14 15 again, read all the material. He never said that she was not -- did not try her best as senate 17 president, didn't try her best at what she 18 (inaudible), you know, was a big participant, and the founding of the union and the founding of the

20 constitution for the senate. What he said is, if

21 there was a weakness in the documents, establish

22 it with respect to share governance, which she

23 frankly agreed with, but when Steve advocated

24 about it, particularly, on the Listserv or --

there's going to be all kinds of stuff. I mean,

somebody argues with you and attacks your world

view, attacks your, you know, your culture or

your religious beliefs and is contrary, like, oh,

does that feel threatening? It might, but that

is part of debate, and that is what I'm trying to

get at. Sorry.

9 SYBIL BAILEY: I have a question, though. No, (inaudible). First of all, I get it in the union matters, how you discuss or debate

12 with (inaudible) of the world in your -- that is

13 your world. That is -- I mean, we're struggling

14 with -- or my lens, because it's looking like we

15 are not helping our team in terms of people

16 coming in and saying, HR, this is unacceptable,

and you're right, some of it is their political

18 points, and some of it is that -- fine, you are

going to be miserable. You are going to be sorry

20 you didn't do that for me; that is not a physical

21 threat, at all. Well, it could be, but it's -- I

22 don't -- I am not taking it as that, but it does

23 feel like I am going to make your life miserable.

24 The end of the day, the policy for overload is



#: 706

STEVEN MURRAY

December 07, 2023

	even Murray Interview Meeting	33–36		
	Page 33	Page 35		
1	what it is. And I'll be I know you know that	1 attack him. I never swore at him. I just said,		
2	backwards, forwards, inside out. I kind of know	2 you're wrong.		
3	the gist of it. You get so much. You get	3 SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.		
4	(inaudible) the exception, so in the end, the	4 STEVEN MURRAY: And I hope I'm allowed		
5	exceptions (inaudible) because of the quote,	5 to say that, and I filed a grievance.		
6	unquote assertive beat down, relentless attack on	6 SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum, okay.		
7	it, my word, please, in terms of Stargard says	7 STEVEN MURRAY: That's the process. I		
8	go ahead.	8 mean, again		
9	STEVEN MURRAY: May I just address	9 SYBIL BAILEY: And I get that. We		
10	that, because again, we've got a grievance	10 don't agree on		
11	hearing next Monday with Rebecca Heimel on that.	11 STEVEN MURRAY: Rosemary (inaudible),		
12	SYBIL BAILEY: Okay, good.	12 just please read this again.		
13	STEVEN MURRAY: And I just	13 SYBIL BAILEY: No, I already know about		
14	SYBIL BAILEY: I am sorry.	14 it, because		
15	STEVEN MURRAY: No, you were I don't	15 STEVEN MURRAY: Yes, I mean, it says,		
16	think you were at the college yet, but when I was	16 this is the president of the college. It takes		
17	the president of the union, when we did the last	17 guts to do our jobs, and I would say, we have		
18	contract 2018 to 2021, we I sat across the	18 them we, me and her. She this is		
19	table from Rosemary (Inaudible), Ann Marie	19 SYBIL BAILEY: And you have, but if		
20	Coleman and one of the issues we resolved was on	20 you		
21	overload. At that time three credit courses, you	21 STEVEN MURRAY: This is her.		
22	could only do seven over the course of the year,	22 SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible)		
23	so we increased it to eight. We put the language	23 STEVEN MURRAY: Yes, but Sybil, this is		
24	in, and it was very clear.	24 her coming to my house.		
	Page 34	Page 36		
1	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	1 SYBIL BAILEY: I know, because I		
2	STEVEN MURRAY: And then moving beyond	2 remember the gift.		
3	that, the administration did not follow the	3 STEVEN MURRAY: She called me the day		
4	contract, I mean, clearly.	4 before. I bought you a gift. Can I come to your		
5	SYBIL BAILEY: And I am not debating	5 house. I was shocked, to be honest.		
6	that piece.	6 SYBIL BAILEY: I know.		
7	STEVEN MURRAY: No, but I am just	7 STEVEN MURRAY: I said, sure.		
8	saying, so Sybil, there's nothing I did wrong, in	8 SYBIL BAILEY: Well, you know,		
9	the sense of, well, wait a minute, you know, all	9 relationships do go up and down		
10	we want is what the contract says. We	10 STEVEN MURRAY: Yes.		
11	SYBIL BAILEY: And I get that.	11 SYBIL BAILEY: overnight. I'm sure		
12	STEVEN MURRAY: Last fall, though, Dean	12 there's other times that there's been discussions		
13	Stargard this is where it gets wrong, he	13 that		
14	communicated to me; oh, myself and the other two	14 STEVEN MURRAY: I have had all kinds of		

15 discussions --

19 again --

21 few times.

SYBIL BAILEY: Right.

18 the years, with all kinds of things, where,

24 personal with her nephew and all of that.

STEVEN MURRAY: -- with Rosemary over

SYBIL BAILEY: And it's gotten heated a

STEVEN MURRAY: Yes, but it's --

SYBIL BAILEY: Especially when it got

16

17

20

22

23

15 deans have decided that instead of being able to

16 do eight, as the contract says, or seven, like it

17 used to be, we have decided it's six, so again,

19 personal attack on him. Dean, you are wrong. I

23 the faculty's rights to make money, so I mean,

SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum, um-hum.

STEVEN MURRAY: Right, I mean, this is

18 my only response to him was -- it wasn't a

20 can't just sit there and say, oh, okay.

21

22

#: 707

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 37–40

Ste	even Murray Interview Meeting		37–40
1	Page 37 STEVEN MURRAY: That was a complete	1	Page 39 RICHARD SINAPI: And Sybil, I got to
2	misunderstanding on her part.	2	follow-up on that, because
3	SYBIL BAILEY: Oh, okay.	3	SYBIL BAILEY: I get it, though.
4	STEVEN MURRAY: No, I mean, that, in	4	RICHARD SINAPI: First of all, I don't
5	the sense that	5	think you could do what you are doing. Second of
		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	SYBIL BAILEY: You didn't mean it	6	all, if you try, what's good for the goose
7	STEVEN MURRAY: My brother had just	7	SYBIL BAILEY: Doing what I'm doing,
8	died.	8	what do you mean?
9	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	9	RICHARD SINAPI: Trying to police, you
10	,	10	know.
11	me, we both had a rough year last year, and I	11	SYBIL BAILEY: Oh, okay, good. I
12	3	12	though you meant something (inaudible).
13	,	13	
14	, , , ,	14	,
15	75 7 3	15	•
16		16	3 3 3 3
17	nephew? I said it out of pure empathy.	17	······, ······,
18	RICHARD SINAPI: He thought it was	18	disciplined for screaming at him.
19	following up on what she said.	19	STEVEN MURRAY: She screamed at me in
20	STEVEN MURRAY: And she started to	20	front of 30 people at the strategic planning
21	scream at me actually, screaming at me.	21	committee meeting, when I filed my violence in
22	SYBIL BAILEY: Yes.	22	the workplace. There were vice-presidents there,
23	STEVEN MURRAY: She started to scream;	23	just, (inaudible). Dave Patten was there. Sara
24	you are despicable. I was shocked, to be honest.	24	Enright was there. All kinds of people were
1	Page 38 I just got up and left. (Inaudible)	1	Page 40 there. All I said was, again, a statement
2		2	-
	SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible)	_	(inaudible), and the person running the meeting
3	STEVEN MURRAY: (Inaudible) put my hand	3	said, does anybody have any comments? I waited
4	on a Bible.	4	politely. I raised my hand. He said, go ahead,
5	SYBIL BAILEY: No.	5	and I said, you know this is paraphrasing,
6	STEVEN MURRAY: I mean, I never tried	6	something in the document, where all students are
7	to hurt her with that.	7	capable. I said, I have devoted my life to
8	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	8	students. I said, I wish that was true a hundred
9	STEVEN MURRAY: I mean, are you kidding	9	percent of the time. We get some students,
10	0 11	10	unfortunately, who are unmotivated, who aren't
11	is my brother passing, and I was discussing that.	11	here for the right reasons, and Rosemary is
12		12	sitting across the table from me and just went
13	3	13	explosive. I don't know if you have seen
14		14	(inaudible). You have been at plenty of
15	, ,	15	meetings.
16	, ,	16	SYBIL BAILEY: I was not there, but I
17		17	know what you're talking about.
18		18	STEVEN MURRAY: You've done plenty of
19	, , , ,	19	meetings with Rosemary, right, and again, we
20	think you understand that.	20	could bring in Dean Cole, Dean (Inaudible),



23 have come after me.

SYBIL BAILEY: I do.

SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.

STEVEN MURRAY: And plenty of people

21

22

21 plenty of other people --

22

24

23 ahead.

SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible), but go

STEVEN MURRAY: The people in her area,

#: 708

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 41–44

Ste	even Murray Interview Meeting		41–44
	Page 41		Page 43
1	where she has screamed at multiple people	1	do about it, okay, if I have to go to the Supreme
2	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	2	Court. All right, so
3	STEVEN MURRAY: over the years.	3	SYBIL BAILEY: Are you getting it's
4	Multiple people.	4	okay, like
5	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	5	RICHARD SINAPI: I get excited
6	STEVEN MURRAY: If she wants to go down	6	SYBIL BAILEY: I know. I am, like
7	that road, that is, you know	7	RICHARD SINAPI: just like and I
8	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	8	get so does Steve. Steve gets
9	STEVEN MURRAY: I mean, so then,	9	SYBIL BAILEY: But I am just making
10	again, what is good for the goose is good for the	10	sure, because I'm being candid with you, just
11	gander.	11	because
12	SYBIL BAILEY: And I respect that.	12	RICHARD SINAPI: I get it and I want to
13	RICHARD SINAPI: And he has had, you	13	
14	know, Professor (Inaudible) scream at him, okay,	14	SYBIL BAILEY: Yes.
15	witnesses. He has had a co-worker scream at him.	15	RICHARD SINAPI: Steve gets passionate,
16	STEVEN MURRAY: Soudebeh Valicenti,		too.
17	right up in my face, and I am not going to repeat	17	SYBIL BAILEY: Right.
18	the words she used. You tell that, blah, blah,	18	STEVEN MURRAY: That's a good thing.
19	blah, blah, blah. I just stood there. I had a	19	RICHARD SINAPI: Passion is a good
20	witness to that.	20	thing.
21	SYBIL BAILEY: I remember that.	21	SYBIL BAILEY: And I do, too and I've
22	STEVEN MURRAY: Nobody had said I have	22	been
23	used language like that, because I haven't in 32	23	RICHARD SINAPI: But when you scream
24	years.	24	but when you scream when you get passionate
	Page 42		Page 44
1	SYBIL BAILEY: Then why do so many	1	,
2	people	2	3 , 1 , 3 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1 , 1
3	RICHARD SINAPI: He has pardon me?	3	people, that's a bad thing. Those are
4	STEVEN MURRAY: Why do people not like	4	fundamentally, objectively different things,
5	me? I don't know. Plenty of people love me.	5	okay, and that is what you can police. You
6	SYBIL BAILEY: I didn't mean not like	6	cannot police
7	you. What I meant	7	SYBIL BAILEY: Did you scream at Del
8	• •		Sesto, when you went in that day about
9	me.	9	STEVEN MURRAY: Absolutely not.
10	RICHARD SINAPI: I'll make a	10	SYBIL BAILEY: Okay.
11	suggestion	11	STEVEN MURRAY: Again
12	SYBIL BAILEY: Sorry.	12	RICHARD SINAPI: And she never said he
13	RICHARD SINAPI: on this floor,	13	did.
14	okay. I just want to put a fine point on it. My	14	SYBIL BAILEY: Okay. I am asking.
15	client has never screamed at anybody.	15	STEVEN MURRAY: The first time you and
16	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	16	I met you may not recall; I remember you
17	RICHARD SINAPI: My client has never	17	saying to me when we first met; oh, you are the
18	used foul language. By that, my client has never	18	guy who yells at people.
19	used vulgarity. My client his never attacked	19	SYBIL BAILEY: I did not. It doesn't
20	anybody. He has attacked ideas, and he will	20	matter. I don't mean to debate that. I am
1 .)4	continue to do so, okay.	21	sorry.
21	OVER DAILEY IV	00	OTEVEN MUDDAY DOLL
22 23	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum. RICHARD SINAPI: I'll say something	22 23	STEVEN MURRAY: But I mean SYBIL BAILEY: Yeah.

24



24 else. There's nothing this college is going to

STEVEN MURRAY: I can get loud.

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 45–48

Ste	even Murray Interview Meeting	45–48			
	Page 45		Page 47		
1	Everybody has a different volume level. I	1	there just to convey; we need to get this work		
2	again, I don't scream. I am not going to scream	2	done.		
3	in here. I've never screamed at anyone at the	3	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum, um-hum, um-hum.		
4	college. I really have not.	4	STEVEN MURRAY: I didn't go up there to		
5	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	5	pick a fight with anybody, but I mean, I would		
6	STEVEN MURRAY: Can I get a little	6	not		
7	louder and that is exactly what Liz said in	7	SYBIL BAILEY: But then it was brought		
8	her transcript. She said he got a bit louder	8	to your attention		
9	than normal. That is not screaming.	9	STEVEN MURRAY: What is that?		
10	SYBIL BAILEY: True.	10	SYBIL BAILEY: When it was brought to		
11	STEVEN MURRAY: A bit louder, that's	11	your attention the way she interpreted that or		
12	her words under oath.		took it.		
13	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	13	STEVEN MURRAY: Do you know how it was		
14	STEVEN MURRAY: A bit louder than	14	3		
15	normal. I don't think that is a bad thing.	15	SYBIL BAILEY: Yes no, I am asking.		
16	Plenty of other people have done that, too.	16	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Can I ask a quick		
17	SYBIL BAILEY: It does get your	17	, , , ,		
18	emotion I get that.	18	When you were saying, so many people. Are we		
19	RICHARD SINAPI: And he was passionate	19	talking about anything besides the three		
20	about his concern and about the urgency of what	20	complainants in this matter? I am just trying to		
21	the matter was.	21	be clear about what we're all discussing here,		
22	STEVEN MURRAY: Yes, this was		because we are kind of it's gone far afield, I think.		
23	RICHARD SINAPI: And again, it	23 24			
24	wasn't it wasn't about her. It was about the	24	SYBIL BAILEY: All right.		
1	Page 46 situation he was in with the interpretation of	1	Page 48 MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Which is fine, but		
2	the contract, and how they had to calculate	2	no, I am just curious. I just want to know; are		
3	budgets and whatnot.	3	we talking about just Ms. Abbascia and Ms.		
4	SYBIL BAILEY: Whatever, yes, yes.	4	(Inaudible) and Ms. Del Sesto?		
5	RICHARD SINAPI: Yes, all that stuff.	5	MICHELLE DARGON: Let me clarify,		
6	You know what I mean?	6	because I think we've gone way off topic.		
7	STEVEN MURRAY: It was the work day	7	SYBIL BAILEY: I did, and it's only		
	before the beginning of the semester, the Friday,		because I was trying to I apologize.		
9	where payroll is due; the schedules were due. We	9	(Inaudible).		
10	hadn't even finalized which courses were running,	10	MICHELLE DARGON: So I'm working under		
11	let alone who was assigned to it. All I did was	11	the policy in conducting the investigation, so		
12	go up to see my dean. He wasn't there. I had	12	let me just lay it flat out. Brand new to the		
13	gone to Liz and (Inaudible) office a million	13			
14	times. When they say I went unannounced, I never	14	Attempting to do as much as a neutral		
15	made an appointment. They were in there. I	15	investigation as I can, and so this is a fact		
16	heard them talking. I stood in the door.	16	finding mission. I am sticking within the		
17	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.	17	confines of the three complainants.		
18	STEVEN MURRAY: Hey, you know,	18	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Okay.		
19	ladies whatever I said, and then I just said	19	SYBIL BAILEY: Yes.		
20	to Liz, basically, you know, it's causing chaos.	20	MICHELLE DARGON: There are witnesses		
21	I had other chairs coming to me. This is chaos.	21	to that, which under the policy, I'm allowed to		
22	We can't get payroll in. We can't get the	22	interview.		
	ashadulas dans. Liziust kind of starad at ma		MICHAEL DA CRUZ. Of source		

23

24



23 schedules done. Liz just kind of stared at me,

24 right. I was not there to offend her. I was

MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Of course.

MICHELLE DARGON: And I am going to

December 07, 2023 49-52

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

Page 49 Page 51 MICHELLE DARGON: The three 1 work within the scope of that policy, and so even 1 2 with additional information, there is information complainants, Del Sesto, (inaudible). 3 that I will obviously exclude, if it's not 3 RICHARD SINAPI: Sneesby, who screams 4 relevant, and if matters come forward where there at him, sent e-mails to him that are derogatory, 5 is additional steps that we need to take, post my personally, about his character. That person 6 investigation, obviously, that would be shared has -- is concerned about his discourse? with you and in accordance with policy and then 7 7 MICHELLE DARGON: And again, I'm not of course, the advice of our general counsel at hear to make arguments over that either. I am CCRI, but I think we need to get back on task. here really just to kind of focus and see how, 10 SYBIL BAILEY: Sorry. Steven, you've perceived your working 11 STEVEN MURRAY: Can I just, though, relationships. I think the information you've 12 Mickey -provided has been really helpful in determining, 13 MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. you know, what they're perceiving your mannerism 14 STEVEN MURRAY: -- with Mike's question to be one way; what you're perceiving your mannerism to be another way. That is part of the 15 again --16 MICHELLE DARGON: Yup. fact finding mission. Additionally, I'm looking 17 to look at that workplace conflict language that STEVEN MURRAY: -- when you say, have I 17 is in the policy, and fine, you know, you've 18 ever been inappropriate; again, I thought we were here to focus on these three complainants, not expressed, as has the notes, that, you know, you 19 going back 10 years, 20 years, 30 years. When got a little bit loud. I'm a Bostonian by 20 20 21 you ask these open-ended questions, have you 21 nature. I am always loud. And so people might 22 ever -perceive that as screaming and yelling, when I 23 MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. just really can't tone my volume down. So I 24 STEVEN MURRAY: -- I'm happy to address think a lot of that is explanations, and if Page 50 Page 52 somebody has stopped Steve and said, hey, you the three complainants, but I think it's unfair to me to go back to things that I don't even know know, you are being very rude and then Steve 3 what you're talking about in the last 30 years. says, oh, I'm so sorry. Let me, you know, lower MICHELLE DARGON: So that is a fair my voice or things like that. That's something I 4 think is really important to note. Do people 5 argument, and I will note that. I didn't put a time frame on anything. And clearly, I was not have to express and say, hey, you know, I don't 7 clear on that. I am looking -- the allegations 7 like how you're acting? Unnecessarily, but it is are stemming from '21 -- the end of '21, but a lot more helpful to understand the context of predominantly '22, and early '23. 9 the situation from Steve's perspective, I feel. 10 STEVEN MURRAY: These complaints are And so yes, the questions are very objective 11 all in 2023. 11 (sic), but again, I am looking to see how Steve has felt his working relationships with these 12 MICHELLE DARGON: Well, yes, January 12 13 and February. 13 individuals are. 14 14 STEVEN MURRAY: They don't go back STEVEN MURRAY: You mean they're 15 to --15 subjective, not objective. 16 MICHELLE DARGON: There is -- the fact 16 MICHELLE DARGON: Correct, sorry, yes. 17 finding mission is how are you perceiving --17 STEVEN MURRAY: Yup. 18 because they are perceiving their working 18 RICHARD SINAPI: If I just may relationship with you in a particular manner --19 19 interject real briefly. 20 SPEAKER: Who's they? 20 MICHELLE DARGON: Sure. 21 21 RICHARD SINAPI: Who is they? RICHARD SINAPI: And I'll let you

22

23

24 question.

continue. I apologize, but --



this policy. The three complainants.

RICHARD SINAPI: Who is they?

MICHELLE DARGON: -- in accordance with

22

23

24

MICHELLE DARGON: I only have one more

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 53-56

Page 53 RICHARD SINAPI: But Ms. Del Sesto 2 never indicated that she was concerned about 3 Steve's passionate, you know, expression of 4 concern about what was going on, and -- number 5 one, and number two, both the Sneesby complaints, 6 which are all e-mails and the Abbascia 7 complaints, which are all e-mails are about union 8 business. That is not a subject you can 9 regulate. That is off the table. You can do 10 what you want, because we may disagree, okay, about these things, and I may be passionate about 12 it, okay. We may disagree. I am just telling you, okay, and I think Ms. -- should I call you 14 Sybil? 15 SYBIL BAILEY: Sybil, yeah. RICHARD SINAPI: You can call me 16 17 Dick --18 SYBIL BAILEY: Yes, yes. 19 RICHARD SINAPI: -- if you don't mind. It's fine. Richard, Dick that's fine, but has 20 21 admitted that when it comes to union business, 22 when it comes to whether you are a lame duck 23 president, and it's not good for the union,

Page 55 SYBIL BAILEY: I was just making sure I 1 2 wasn't --3 RICHARD SINAPI: But that is a good point, but just because you're passionate, okay, and you are persistent, vigorous, and a good advocate, okay, one thing that bothers people 7 subjectively and unconsciously is if you are a good advocate and you start picking apart and citing facts about what happened, okay, and you get upset. You get -- you feel personally attacked, because you don't have the better of 11 the argument. Your world view is being attacked and I'll use Ms. Sneesby as an example. He said 14 that the fact that the setup was being manipulated, was his opinion. He explained why. She said, oh, that is not the case. He went and 17 spoke to the actual people involved, and he presented to her that, yes, in fact, this was an administration proposal, not a faculty proposal. 20 She got all upset about it. Why? Because he 21 brought the facts out that attacked her world 22 belief and her position, and it made it seem like she was not doing her job, and she felt like she was being personally attacked. It wasn't. It

Page 54

1 faculty doesn't have appropriate governance power, and it's being manipulated, that is wide 3 open and robust. You can't regulate that, okay, unless vulgarity, okay, physical threat, okay, actions like that. 5 6 SYBIL BAILEY: Well, what about the 7 (inaudible) that is not physical? I'm just curious. Please know I mean this with sincerity. I'm really trying to --9 10 STEVEN MURRAY: I never made a threat. 11 RICHARD SINAPI: Sybil, let me answer your question, because it's -- we're talking in 13 the abstract, but it's a good discussion. SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum. 14 15 RICHARD SINAPI: Okay. I am telling 16 you, in that context, the union, wide open debate

whether you are talking about, you know, the

17 under the First Amendment and under the 18 Liberations Act, I'm telling you, okay, short of 19 physical violence, okay, or threat of physical 20 violence, you can't police that, and if you try, 21 it's a big mistake. You're getting into an area

22 you shouldn't be in, and you have got the wrong person. This person may be passionate, as I was,

24 and I got a little excited, and you told me.

Page 56 was an attack about the process, and that is what

I'm getting at, and that is what you can't

police, and I'll shut up.

4 MICHELLE DARGON: Okay. 5 SYBIL BAILEY: Me, too.

6 MICHELLE DARGON: I just have one final question. Have you ever participated in

counseling or training relevant to professional

9 conduct?

10 STEVEN MURRAY: Say that again, please. 11 MICHELLE DARGON: Have you ever participated in counseling or training relevant 13 to professional conduct? 14

STEVEN MURRAY: As a lawyer, I have 15 taken continuing legal education seminars. 16 MICHELLE DARGON: So I think pertaining 17 to CCRI.

18 STEVEN MURRAY: I have taken all kinds 19 of -- we have professional development day, all kinds of programs. I can't cite them all to you,

21 but I mean, we've had -- again, I have been

there -- maybe during COVID, we met for

professional development day, but I think every

24 other year, we've had it, and there was always a



STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 57–60

Page 57

nice sequence of events you could go to on, you
 know, a plethora of issues, so I mean, I attended

3 those.

4 MICHELLE DARGON: Anything specific,

5 though, to professionalism or working --

6 sometimes there's trainings on -- again, from

7 another job I had, multi generational workplace

8 or handling conflict or customer service,

9 anything alike to that?

10

12

20

21

STEVEN MURRAY: I am not sure.

11 MICHELLE DARGON: Okay.

STEVEN MURRAY: We had one on sexual

3 harassment I remember, when President (Inaudible)

14 was president. The college brought someone in, a15 professional with that; everybody had to go to.

16 We've got policies that we sometimes have to do

17 on-line things for, but I don't have an

18 exhaustive list of 30 years worth of seminars

19 that I have attended.

MICHELLE DARGON: And then how about any kind of counseling on mannerisms or conduct

22 or the way we work with people?

23 STEVEN MURRAY: I am not sure what you

24 are asking. I know the college, for other

Page 59 this time. I am working with a sense of urgency.

2 I do know that we're sensitive to Professor

3 Murray being out on administrative paid leave.

4 That is a cost to the college -- excuse me, and

5 so I am working quicker on this matter, but

6 again, I just wanted to put it out there that I

7 do not have a time line to provide you. With

8 that being said, that is all of my questions. If

9 I do come within the scope of looking for

0 clarification information, I will reach out to

1 you to maybe schedule another time just to

2 clarify that, but much like the doctor's office,

13 not hearing from me is kind of good news.

14 RICHARD SINAPI: Yes, I understand, 15 and Violence In The Workplace Policy does not

16 apply in this context. There's nothing here that

17 applies. It has to be a threat of violence or

18 would lead to violence. That is the law. I can

19 give you interpretations of it. You go down that

20 road, there's nothing there. There's nothing

21 there, and that is just the law. It's not what I

22 say. It's the way it's interpreted and the way

23 it was intended. There was nothing that was

24 going to lead that violence or threat of

Page 58

6

7

8

people, which will go unnamed, have gone to

2 things like anger management counseling. I have

3 never done that. I mean, I have never been

4 requested to do that, nor have I done it, if that

5 is the road you are going down. I know other 6 people have at the college. I know -- I'll stop

7 there.

8 MICHELLE DARGON: Okay, so that really 9 is all of my questions. Like I said, again, I am

10 kind of on that fact finding mission. People

11 have relayed their opinions and some with

12 witnesses and others without, on how they feel

13 and how they've perceived Professor Murray's

14 conduct. In turn, what I'm looking for today is15 how Professor Murray is perceiving his overall

16 interactions and relationships with everybody. I

17 am working within the scope of the Violence In

18 The Workplace Prevention Policy. In particular,

19 the workplace conflict language there, and the

20 standards of conduct, which apply in any

21 investigation as well, and how we uphold

22 ourselves. I do have several other witnesses to

23 still meet with and then I have to put my report

24 together. I don't have a time frame for you at

Page 60

1 violence, certainly, not by Steve, and I don't

think Ms. Sneesby was going to turn around and punch him in the nose, okay, so I don't think

4 de la companya de l

4 there is any threat of violence there. Being a

little facetious, but you get my point.

STEVEN MURRAY: And Liz says the same

thing. There was never any threat to Liz.

RICHARD SINAPI: And this thing -- this

9 vague thing about, you know, (inaudible) or

0 herself, "inside or outside the workplace, worthy

11 of (inaudible) public employee must enjoy by

2 acting in such a manner as not to bring discredit

3 upon his or her employer." Steve is a

4 passionate, persistent, intelligent advocate for

15 many causes, for the faculty, for the school, for

16 students and he does it with facts. He doesn't

17 engage in ad hominem attacks. He attacks the

18 facts. He attacks the issue. And you know what,

19 as long as you do that, this world is a better

20 place. I wish our politics could be like that.

21 STEVEN MURRAY: Yes, I think, to be

22 fair to me, you know, again, please just stay

23 focused on the three complainants. I mean, you

can dig up -- anybody in this room, we could dig



STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 61–64

Page 61 Page 63 up people who don't like us. I mean, who cares. table who have a different interest or at least 2 SYBIL BAILEY: Absolutely, yeah. not showing the same. 3 STEVEN MURRAY: Who cares. I mean, you 3 SYBIL BAILEY: Or different styles. 4 know. STEVEN MURRAY: Yes, just not showing MICHELLE DARGON: We work in HR. We 5 5 the same --6 understand. 6 SYBIL BAILEY: Yes --7 STEVEN MURRAY: Sybil was 7 STEVEN MURRAY: And so to demonize me unprofessional to (inaudible) that day. all the time is just unfair to me. It really is. 9 SYBIL BAILEY: I know. 9 RICHARD SINAPI: I have just got to 10 STEVEN MURRAY: (Inaudible) didn't like follow up on it. I think all your comments were me at that meeting. Who cares. That is just great. I think our back and forth was helpful. 11 I think I understand what you are struggling 13 SYBIL BAILEY: And please, when I was with, and I hope you know what my answer is, and 14 going off tangent, if you will, I believe in, it's pretty clear. I've got to tell you like, putting it all out there. 15 something. When you are debating an idea, not a 15 16 STEVEN MURRAY: Yes. personal attack, but when you're debating an 17 SYBIL BAILEY: This is what we are up idea, okay, back and forth, and somebody factually, logically is undermining that, okay, 18 against. You are up against. I know you have specific concerns with this piece, but in terms you are not in a safe place. You leave your safe 19 of moving forward, the goal is to correct this place. You don't -- you know, you feel -- you 20 21 and make it amicable for both, so that is why, 21 feel threatened, okay, that my belief structure 22 please, don't think I'm trying to dig up other 22 is being attacked by a very passionate, logical, 23 people. I was really trying to get you to a you know, argument. That happens. People are 24 place to know what we are up against. going to be taken out of their safe place, when

Page 62

STEVEN MURRAY: Sybil, I want the same.

We met. The union met on Monday or Tuesday of
this week --

4 SYBIL BAILEY: Right.

5 STEVEN MURRAY: -- for the (inaudible) 6 and Rebecca (Inaudible) and Allison, all right, 7 and when you and I met on that -- and I think

8 Mickey was there, on the grievance involving the,

9 you know, the Beth Anders thing, right, we had a10 great discussion --

11 SYBIL BAILEY: Right.

12 STEVEN MURRAY: -- without a doubt and 13 then we get to this meeting on Monday or Tuesday 14 with (Inaudible) and she is, like, we are not

14 with (maddible) and she is, like, we are not

15 here to discuss any of that. And then she brings

16 up that Allison has a vice-president -- a dean's

17 job coming up or assistant dean, and she is,

18 like, we are going to get the faculty involved,

19 so I said, well, that is great. Now, we can

20 build a bridge here and whatnot. I said, why

21 don't we just do an MOA and (inaudible) shut it

22 down instantly. I was, like, I'm trying to work

23 things in a better way here, so again, you've got

24 to realize there are people on your side of the

Page 64 there is argumentation and undermining factually

2 and logically their position.

3 SYBIL BAILEY: Don't you think that4 sometimes it does get personal, though, the more

5 passionate we are, whatever it maybe? I might

6 not agree with you. I mean, I have been there.

7 If you have got -- off record now, this -- my

8 previous life in the city -- I don't know if you

9 know Donald lannazzi. He worked for 1033 as a

10 business agent for years, and he was a big

11 proponent of that. He beat the pulp out of me,

12 seriously, and -- 20 years ago (inaudible) and

13 went there and we argued. I learned a ton from

14 him.

19

20

15 STEVEN MURRAY: Right.

16 SYBIL BAILEY: And some of it was,

7 like, you know, don't take it personally and all

18 that good stuff.

STEVEN MURRAY: Right, relevant.

SYBIL BAILEY: But more importantly,

there were times -- and I remember him saying to

2 me, Sybil, I get to be more -- I don't have to

23 follow the same rules as you. I go, in order for

24 us to work together, though, there has got to be



STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 65–68

Page 68

Page 65 Page 67 1 a level -- there's got to be some boundaries. I personally, if they threaten you in some way, and 2 am not going to let you attack me over and over. they are making an ad hominem attack, and you 3 I am going to walk out, and we got there. Trust know what ad hominem is, right? It's Latin. 4 me, good friends right now, but I was young. I That is a different situation. That may be a 5 was right -- coming right to the city, and he different context, maybe, okay. That is not what knew every move (inaudible). He knew the we're dealing with here, okay. That's not what 7 contract upside down, I mean, so --7 we're dealing with here. 8 STEVEN MURRAY: Yes. 8 MICHAEL DA CRUZ: I think the key 9 RICHARD SINAPI: But I am sure -thing, right, is like, at some point if -- the 10 SYBIL BAILEY: And it's a different 10 way it's going to get framed is you can't be relationship, because he is -- I don't report to critical of the positions of a union president 11 him. I had to remind him of that, so -- and that 12 and a faculty senate leader as a member of the 13 was the difference, but when he was working with faculty union and the faculty. Like, how --14 department directors and that kind of a thing, you're just not allowed to be critical of people 15 it's, like, in order to -- help me, to help you, in leadership. 15 so we can get this done. Just -- and that is 16 SYBIL BAILEY: What about what 17 (inaudible), because Stargard is not in the 17 what I struggled with. I'm trying. I swear to 18 union? 18 you. 19 RICHARD SINAPI: But Sybil, he -- I'm 19 MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Well, but he is 20 sure that Mr. lannazzi didn't attack you 20 not -- but he is not complaining, though. First personally. He attacked your ideas. He attacked 21 21 of all, he is not -- he is not a complainant, 22 your position, and you felt unsafe. Ms. Sneesby 22 right, as far as I know. 23 and Ms. Abbascia felt unsafe, because he was 23 SYBIL BAILEY: No, I am talking about undermining their belief structures, and he was 24 that -- I'm just saying, generally speaking in

Page 66

making logical arguments in the public debate to faculty, okay, about their positions. They felt 3 out of their safe place. They said, oh, oh, he is attacking me. He wasn't and you know it. 5 SYBIL BAILEY: It was the union. 6 RICHARD SINAPI: You have got the 7 e-mails. 8 MICHAEL DA CRUZ: And that is -- it's a 9 fight on the Listserv about --10 RICHARD SINAPI: They were attacking (inaudible). There is a big different. This 11

11 (inaudible). There is a big different. This
12 isn't Donald Trump, okay, this is not the current
13 political rhetoric, where people attack each
14 other. He was attacking ideas, and that is a
15 good thing, okay, and you know what the policy
16 should be, you tell Sneesby and Abbascia, he is
17 arguing ideas. You are in the public sphere.

You accepted the position, when you took a senatepresident and leadership position, and when you

took the position, and he will -- anybody canattack ideas and attack your positions. If you

22 don't feel comfortable, resign.

23 MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, I think, I --24 RICHARD SINAPI: If they attack you o 1 terms of --

6

MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Sure.
SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible)
MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Sure.
SYBIL BAILEY: Like, he is your boss.

7 some attention of it and the dialog that went
8 back and forth, sometimes that does feel
9 personal. I mean, at the end of the day --

Yeah, he is your boss and he is bringing to you

STEVEN MURRAY: It never was.SYBIL BAILEY: Okay.

12 STEVEN MURRAY: I don't know him, 13 personally, in the sense of just, like, I don't 14 know --

15 SYBIL BAILEY: Us. 16 STEVEN MURRAY:

16 STEVEN MURRAY: I don't know any of 17 you. I mean, I've gotten to know these guys 18 more, but I don't know you personally. 19 SYBIL BAILEY: Right.

20 STEVEN MURRAY: It's never personal. 21 He has a job to do. I have a job to do. I

respected him. The fact that he thought my
e-mails were too long or the tone --

24 SYBIL BAILEY: H'm.



STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 69 - 72

1	Page 69 STEVEN MURRAY: the tone, again, was	1	Page 71 Blower Act.
2	agreement with his position; I am pointing out,	2	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes.
3	with overload, Bill, it's clear in the contract,	3	RICHARD SINAPI: Not the First
4	and he's coming back at me with something that	4	Amendment. Steve's communications are protected
5	was illogical. Oh, no, we decided that the other	5	under the First Amendment.
6	deans, that we were going to go with this policy.	6	SYBIL BAILEY: I get it. I know. I
7	I said, you cannot do that. That is not	7	know we could go on. I'm
8	personal. That is just	8	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, of course.
9	SYBIL BAILEY: You don't know your job,	9	RICHARD SINAPI: I hope we have been
10	either.	10	helpful and moved this forward, because Steve
11	STEVEN MURRAY: I didn't say	11	really wants to get back to teaching and
12	SYBIL BAILEY: I'm playing you.	12	STEVEN MURRAY: I want to get back to
13	STEVEN MURRAY: Yes, but no, I didn't	13	work. I mean, it's I love doing what I am
14	say that. I kept saying politely; let's read the	14	doing, and I feel really hurt that they've taken
15	contract. Let's go through it, and that's where,	15	that away from me. It's not just the financial
16	again, he was out of his safe place. He was	16	end. There is a lot of stress.
17	making it up as he was going along and nobody	17	SYBIL BAILEY: Um-hum.
18	should just go along with that.	18	STEVEN MURRAY: It's unfair. I have
19	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, I mean, also, it	19	done nothing wrong. I really haven't. I have
20	has to be	20	been an advocate for the college, the students,
21	SYBIL BAILEY: Okay.	21	the faculty, and in that sense, the
22	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: It has to be	22	administration as well, as Dick said.
23	reasonable to, like like, for example, if	23	SYBIL BAILEY: Is there any piece
24	Dick is my boss, right? If he told me, you know,	24	off record.
_	Page 70		Page 72
1	Mike, you have to work over 40 hours a week and I	1	STEVEN MURRAY: Sure.

2 am not going to pay you overtime and I'm telling 3 you that's what we, the leadership, decided we 4 are going to do. I would be, like, Dick, that's 5 illegal, and if you do that, you are breaking the 6 law, and you are bad at your job, which is true, 7 and of course, I can say that, right, because you 8 can't say, well, I'm your boss -- you can't say 9 that to me. Like, of course, I can say that. In 10 fact, I am probably really obligated to say it. 11 I mean, I was a whistle blower, I think, at that 12 point, right? You can't tell someone I am going 13 to do --

14 SYBIL BAILEY: What they can't say. 15 MICHAEL DA CRUZ: And also, I am going 16 to do something that I'm not allowed to do, but I 17 am your boss, so you just have to do it. It's, 18 like, ut-oh.

19 SYBIL BAILEY: I wish we could video 20 it.

21 RICHARD SINAPI: His example would be 22 protective speech.

23 SYBIL BAILEY: Of course.

RICHARD SINAPI: Other than the Whistle 24

SYBIL BAILEY: Any piece of you that,

3 you know, when you go home -- I do -- (inaudible)

could I have done differently on that piece of

it? You don't have to admit it to me. I am just

wondering --

7 STEVEN MURRAY: Yes, we all look back,

could have, would have, should have.

9 SYBIL BAILEY: Right, right, that is

10 what I'm saying, yes.

11 STEVEN MURRAY: In all honesty, Sybil,

12 I mean, I'm proud of what I've done. When

13 Rosemary came to my house, I thought it was a

14 little strange with the card, but I said, wow,

15 here's someone who is a forceful advocate as

16 well. She has a very different style than I do,

17 very different, but I said, you know, I respect

18 her vigor, let's say, and again, I have the same.

19 I wish more people at CCRI had this. Again, one

20 last quick -- you know, my first week at CCRI --

21 I'm embarrassed to say this story. I am in the

22 cafeteria with the chair of the then Psychology

23 Department, and a student comes over while we're

24 eating, and he brushes the student off. He is



STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 73-76

Sieven wuray interview wieeting			13-10
	Page 73		Page 75
1	really rude to the guy and then this chair of the	1	SYBIL BAILEY: Touche.
2	department says to me, you know, you have your	2	STEVEN MURRAY: You know.
3	time here. Don't let them interrupt it. I said,	3	SYBIL BAILEY: They shouldn't. I get
4	I would do anything for a student. I don't care	4	it.
5	if I've got a sandwich in my mouth, and then the	5	STEVEN MURRAY: I wish more people
6	guy goes to me, you know where you work, right?	6	would get it. If people got it, we won't be at
7	And I go, yes, CCRI and he goes, yes, you know	7	this table. I hate to say it.
8	what it means. I said, yes, community college	8	RICHARD SINAPI: Under a conflict of
9	he goes, no, cash check, remain invisible, and we	9	ideas and positions, we move forward to a better
10	have a lot of people at the college who just cash	10	idea. Without that debate in the workplace,
11	their check and remain invisible, and I am	11	without this give and take, without people being
12	ashamed of those people, and I would never be one	12	taken out of their safe space, because I have
13	of them, so to be faulted for just the opposite,	13	logically and factually undermined your argument,
14	no, we need more people like me. We need more	14	okay, that person may not change their position.
15	people in the union like me. We need more	15	Now, if the people think about it and say, okay,
16	faculty. We need more administrators. We need	16	I am going to bring a complaint against him,
17	fewer people running out the door at 4:00, right,	17	because I'm in an unsafe place, instead of
18	and the passionate people should get that.	18	thinking about and reflecting, gee, maybe my
19	Rosemary should get that. I would expect you to	19	position has some weaknesses, maybe there's
20	both get that, and not to say, oh, he's a bad	20	something I should self reflect on, instead of
21	guy just the opposite. While maybe his style	21	saying, oh, I am out of my safe place. He is
22	isn't what we like, the substance we do like. We	22	attacking my ideas. I mean
23	wish every faculty member would participate in as	23	SYBIL BAILEY: And I have had that
24	many committees, the unions stuff. Again, I get	24	conversation, trust and belief, because I would
	Page 74		Page 76
1	a 175 dollars a month to be a union	1	have to go to these meetings knowing I was going

vice-president. It's a joke. 3 SYBIL BAILEY: That is it? STEVEN MURRAY: It's a joke, but I do 4 5 it. I spend tons of time representing faculty and doing the best I can, and that is -- I should 7 be applauded for that, not, like, oh, he is a bad 8 guy. 9 SYBIL BAILEY: You catch more bees with 10 honey, though, my theory. 11 STEVEN MURRAY: Well --SYBIL BAILEY: Sorry, Dick. 12 13 STEVEN MURRAY: (Inaudible) Leslie 14 Florio. She's successful, but she has got a 15 different style. 16 SYBIL BAILEY: She does. She does. 17 STEVEN MURRAY: She has got a different 18 style, but she can be as tough as anybody. SYBIL BAILEY: She can.

7 kill us. 8 STEVEN MURRAY: No. SYBIL BAILEY: I mean, but it's -- my role is different. It's, I mean, I am here to try to help us figure this out. 12 RICHARD SINAPI: Part of the workplace 13 people disagree. 14 MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yeah, I mean, just to 15 sum it up, just for, like, (inaudible). It's, 16 like, look, it's some frustration and e-mails about overload issues and scheduling, when it's, 18 like, you have to submit this stuff today, and I 19 don't know how to do it, because there are things 20 you are telling me to do and the contracts are 21 not in alignment, and I actually can't do the 22 thing you're asking me to do and I don't really 23 know what to do. What should I do, right? That

24 is one. The other one is, you're the president

2 to -- not yours, in my previous life. People

STEVEN MURRAY: Yes.

SYBIL BAILEY: They're not going to

have a choice.

5

6

3 wouldn't want to go, and I am, like, we don't

STEVEN MURRAY: Yes.

SYBIL BAILEY: And she got -- I respect

STEVEN MURRAY: People should be

19

20

21

23

22 that.

24 faulted for style?

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023

Ste	even Murray Interview Meeting		77–80
1	Page 77	1	Page 79 STEVEN MURRAY: Oh, yes, parking.
1	of the union and you are leaving the school. I	1	., .,
2	think probably you shouldn't negotiate our	2	RICHARD SINAPI: Parking, oh, oh.
3	upcoming contract, because you are leaving, and	3	SYBIL BAILEY: Oh.
4	the rest of us have to be here with it. That is	4	MICHELLE DARGON: I don't how to do it,
5	just a union position, right? I think we all		SO
6	agree on that.	6	SYBIL BAILEY: Wait. What do I have to
7	SYBIL BAILEY: And I have nothing to		do. Oh, give them the ticket.
8	say on the union	8	MICHELLE DARGON: Yes, they asked me to
9	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Right, exactly, and		take photos of all the tickets (inaudible).
10	then, you know, student faculty senate	10	SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) that's why.
11	faculty senate, I am concerned about the		I carry those receipts around and they get
12	,		crumpled up six months later.
13	,	13	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes, right.
14	•	14	SYBIL BAILEY: Steven, it was nice
15	administration is relating with it. Those are		meeting you. I mean, Steve Dick, sorry.
16		16	RICHARD SINAPI: Sybil, pleasure
17	we're talking about, like, and this is, like,		meeting you.
18	almost a year of investigation about that. That	18	SYBIL BAILEY: It was.
19	is kind of silly. I am just saying. Like, those	19	RICHARD SINAPI: I may be passionate,
20	are three very normal things to say and they are	20	but we are really on the same side. I just hope
21	protected things to say.	21	you know that.
22	SYBIL BAILEY: I'm sorry you think they	22	SPEAKER: That's the reality.
23	are silly, but	23	SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible) I get it. I
24	STEVEN MURRAY: But you've got to look	24	mean
	Page 78		Page 80
1	at their motivation as well. They wanted to	1	STEVEN MURRAY: No, you wouldn't be
2	silence me. And I'm talking about Tara and	2	doing what you are doing if you didn't believe
3	Sandy. Sandy told me, she wants to run for	3	this. I truly believe that.
4	president, you know, in April.	4	SYBIL BAILEY: I get it. I get it.
5	RICHARD SINAPI: They said it right in	5	I'm just I believe in
6	their complaints.	6	STEVEN MURRAY: Sure, yes, of course.
7	STEVEN MURRAY: They said it in	7	RICHARD SINAPI: There is a clash of
8	their	8	ideas. It's part of the process.
9	RICHARD SINAPI: Oh, yes, he should go	9	SYBIL BAILEY: Don't hate the player.
10	on administrative leave and not have access to	10	Hate the game.
11	Listserv, so he can logically and passionately	11	RICHARD SINAPI: That is what the First
12	attack our positions, and we will be in our	12	Amendment says. This country was built on the
13	unsafe place, because these arguments are very	13	clash of ideas. The problem with the current
14	persuasive.	14	political rhetoric, it's an attack on people.
15	STEVEN MURRAY: That's so unAmerican.	15	Let's clash on ideas and beliefs.
16	RICHARD SINAPI: That is exactly what	16	SYBIL BAILEY: I still say, you catch
17	happened.	17	more bees with honey.
18	STEVEN MURRAY: That is so unAmerican	18	STEVEN MURRAY: Sometimes.
19	to silence someone.	19	SYBIL BAILEY: I'm sorry. He hates
20	RICHARD SINAPI: (Inaudible) what	20	that.
04	1 1	04	DIOLIADO OINADE NE OCER OCER LEGA

21



MICHELLE DARGON: Parking?

RICHARD SINAPI: Thank you for your

21 happened.

22

23

24 time.

RICHARD SINAPI: No, Sybil, Sybil, I am

22 not necessarily disagreeing with you, but in this

23 context, I keep coming back to this context; look

24 at the e-mails. Look at the discourse. He is

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023 81–84

Ste	even Murray Interview Meeting		81–84
1	Page 81 attacking the ideas and he is not using	1	Page 83 RICHARD SINAPI: When you are logically
2	invectives. He is not using vulgarity. He is	2	arguing a position and you're advocating for it,
3	not he is just saying, look at it; it doesn't	3	there is no tapping out. There's no, like,
4	make sense. You've got no skin in the game.	4	saying, okay, I had enough discourse on this
5	You're a lame duck. You shouldn't be doing this.	5	subject about whether or not the faculty senate
6	It's not in the best interest of the faculty. I	6	is being manipulated or there's not shared
7	think you should resign.	7	governance, so I am tapping out. I feel
8	SYBIL BAILEY: But I think that is	8	threatened. I feel upset about it. Stop it.
9	still different. That is still you.	9	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Right, you don't have
10	RICHARD SINAPI: But that is what	10	to keep arguing.
11	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: But that is what	11	RICHARD SINAPI: It doesn't work that
12	we're here for, though.	12	way.
13	SYBIL BAILEY: But that is (inaudible),	13	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: But you can't tell
14	but that was you and Tara. To me, that is your	14	someone else they have to shut up.
15	world.	15	RICHARD SINAPI: It doesn't work that
16	RICHARD SINAPI: It's not him and Tara.	16	way.
17	That is him and the faculty, and what is best for	17	SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible), but we
18	the faculty. It's not personal, okay. The same	18	don't have to keep arguing. We'll come back.
19	thing with Professor Sneesby, even more so. He	19	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Right, I mean, you
20	never said anything about about her or her	20	don't yeah, you don't have to keep talking
21	role. He said about the way it was functioning	21	about it, but that is the issue, is it's not that
22	and the way it had been established and we need	22	they had to keep talking about it. It's that
23	to fix this. I'm sorry you are out of your safe	23	they told Steve he couldn't keep talking about
24	place, but if we don't talk about it and think	24	it. It was the issue, right?
	Page 82		Page 84
1	about it and logically process it, we can't make	1	SYBIL BAILEY: (Inaudible)
2	it better.	2	MICHAEL DA CRUZ: Yes.
3	SYBIL BAILEY: Right, and both sides	3	RICHARD SINAPI: In what manner, Sybil?
4	RICHARD SINAPI: And the fact that	4	In what manner? Look at the e-mails. In what
5	you	5	manner?
6	SYBIL BAILEY: in my opinion, have	6	SYBIL BAILEY: And I have to be honest,
7	to all right, I need to put thicker skin on,	7	I mean, it's not that is your perception and
8	let's just say, me and you need to be mindful	8	they have theirs. Now, whether or not and
9	that when you do get in my face with your	9	that is what this will get to.
10	•	10	3 3. 3.
11	general general observation. I am not	11	vulgarity. No vulgarity. Threats of violence or
12		12	threats that would lead to violence, none. This
13		13	is the law. That is the objective test. It's
14		14	not somebody's, oh, I am out of my safe place,
15	,	15	and he is very persistent and logical and
16	whether or not your point, there's a process	16	persuasive and (inaudible) his argument. I want

19

20

21

22

24

23 All right, talk soon.

21 are logically arguing a position.

RICHARD SINAPI: Right, but there's no

MICHELLE DARGON: All set. That is it,

19 tapping out in the First Amendment. There is no

20 tapping out on the fact of the Listserv, when you

23 so good luck. May the odds be ever in your

17 for it.

24 favor.

18

22

17 him -- I want him sanctioned and off the

SYBIL BAILEY: Thank you.

STEVEN MURRAY: Thank you, guys.

MICHELLE DARGON: Thank you.

SYBIL BAILEY: All right. Take care.

18 Listserv. It doesn't work that way.

STEVEN MURRAY Steven Murray Interview Meeting

December 07, 2023

	von manay interview meeting	
	Page 85	
1		
2		
3		
4	CERTIFICATE	
5		
6	I, Jeannette M. Criscione, hereby	
7	certify that the foregoing is a true, accurate	
8	and complete transcript to the best of my ability	
9	taken from the tape recordings supplied to the	
10	offices of Esquire Deposition Solutions.	
11		
12		
	TN NITHNESS NUEDEOE I have become not my hard	
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand	
	this 18th day of December, 2023.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
	GANUTEM CRACICLE Jeannesse M Criscione	
18	MANUTE M. CRACICAL Jeannesse M. Criscione M.	
19	<u>*</u>	
	JEANNETTE M. CRISCIONE	
20		
21	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER	
	NOTARY PUBLIC/COMMISSION	
l		
22	EXPIRES 12-17-24	
23		
24		

